Interview Summary	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	
	10/748,337		TUSZYNSKI, MARK H.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Louis D Lieto		1632	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Louis D Lieto</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Michelle Sympson</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview:				·
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-17</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's response was missing the first two lines on page 2 making the election unintelligible. In a phone interview on 12/2/2004 Ms. Michelle Sympson agreed to fax another copy of the response to the examiner. This was done and the response of 12/2/2004 forms the basis for the office action.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the am			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
			•	•
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	ature, if required	